SAINT GEORGE AND THE FAMINE

SUM BUNNY

SAINT GEORGE AND THE FAMINE

The Dragon We Learned to Starve

2025

OPEN THROTTLE
CC BY 4.0

YOU ARE FREE

to share and adapt the material for any purpose, even commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given.

SAINT GEORGE AND THE FAMINE

The Dragon We Learned to Starve

By Sum Bunny

I. The Myth of the Sword

We know the story of Saint George. A dragon terrorizes a village, demanding a daily tribute of sheep, then children, then the princess. The knight arrives, spear in hand, and slays the beast with a single stroke of violence. It is a satisfying myth because the solution is immediate. The evil is external, the hero is martial, and the problem bleeds and dies.

But in the real world, the monsters do not bleed. They do not sleep in caves. They live in the soil, in the economy, and in the exhausted fields of a civilization that has forgotten how to feed itself.

Real monsters are systems. And you cannot kill a system with a sword.

II. The Dragon Has a Name: Monoculture

In the American South of the early 20th century, the dragon was real. It was not a reptile; it was a feedback loop. Its name was King Cotton.

Decades of monoculture—planting the same crop in the same dirt, year after year—had stripped the land of nitrogen. By 1900, Southern cotton yields were collapsing. The boll weevil arrived not merely as a pest, but as an accelerant, exploiting the weakened ecosystem to push the region toward starvation.

This ecological collapse created an economic cage: sharecroppers, particularly Black farmers, were locked in debt peonage, forced to grow a cash crop they couldn't eat, on land that could no longer grow food.

Famine was not a random disaster. It was an emergent property of bad design. The dragon demanded a tribute not of princesses, but of generations.

III. Enter Carver: The Listener

George Washington Carver did not arrive with a weapon. He arrived with a question.

While the agricultural industry looked at the soil and asked, "*How can we force this dirt to yield more cotton?*" Carver knelt and asked the soil, "*What do you need?*"

He was a scientist, but his method required a radical humility rather than force. He practiced deep, ego-less observation. He understood that the "dragon" was actually a nitrogen deficit. The

cotton took; it never gave back. To fight the famine, Carver didn't invent a new poison or a new machine. He turned to the legume. The peanut. The sweet potato. The cowpea.

These were not just "crops." They were nitrogen-fixing technology. They pulled life from the air and stored it in the roots, recharging the earth.

Carver's insight was radical: You do not fight the dragon by attacking it. You fight the dragon by changing the environment so it can no longer survive.

IV. The Real Miracle: Knowledge That Replicates

Carver is often trivialized as "the peanut man," a quirky inventor of 300 uses for a nut. This misses the point entirely. The peanut was not the miracle; the peanut was the delivery system for the protocol.

Carver did not patent his greatest inventions. He gave them away. He published dozens of bulletins that reached hundreds of thousands of farmers. He drove a "Jesup Wagon"—a mobile school—to teach illiterate farmers how to save their own lives. He taught them to rotate crops, to compost, to preserve food, and to break the cycle of debt by growing what they ate.

Charity feeds a village for a day. A systemic protocol feeds a village forever. Carver didn't just hand out fish; he handed out the understanding of the river.

V. A Saint for the Secular

If a saint is someone who performs a miracle to reduce suffering, Carver qualifies. But his was a secular sanctity.

There was no divine intervention, only the rigorous application of botany and chemistry. There was no profit motive; he turned down a six-figure salary from Thomas Edison to stay at Tuskegee and serve the poor.

He meets the only definition of a saint that matters in the modern age: A human being who dissolves their own ego to solve a structural problem for the most vulnerable, leaving behind tools that outlast their own life.

VI. Why We Forgot Him

We like our heroes to be loud. We prefer the "Great Man" who conquers nature to the quiet scientist who collaborates with it. We also cannot ignore the reality of race: America has always struggled to canonize a Black man whose intellect outpaced the white establishment of his time.

But we also forgot him because his solution was unglamorous. Soil health is boring. Crop rotation is not sexy. We fell in love with industrial fertilizers and massive machinery—the "sword" approach to agriculture. We chose domination over the stewardship Carver preached.

VII. The Modern Dragon

Today, the dragon is back. We call it "Supply Chain Fragility." We call it "Topsoil Erosion." We call it "Climate Instability."

We are once again relying on massive monocultures. We are once again stripping the land faster than it can heal. We have forgotten the Saint of the Soil. We chained the dragon for a century, but we did not kill it. And now, the chains are rusting.

VIII. Conclusion: The Spear Was a Seed

Look at the cover image again. The vertical line that bisects Carver—is it a spear? A plow? A column?

It is the Axis of Praxis. It is the tool that bridges the gap between the earth and the sky.

George Washington Carver stands in the center of the frame, calm and unblinking. He knows what we are just now relearning: That true power is not found in the violence of the harvest, but in the patience of the root.

Saint George killed the dragon with a spear. George Washington Carver did something far more difficult.

He taught us how to starve it—by refusing to feed the conditions that made it strong.

This book was written through a collaboration between a human author and AI systems acting as analytical tools. The machines provided structure; the human provided judgment. Nothing appears here that the author did not personally create, refine, choose, and claim.)

Hey Josiane,
You are an angel.
Sum Bunny Loves You!!!

FREE FLASH